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ABSTRACT

Background: Prenatal health disparities exist for African Americans and low socioeconomic status (SES) individuals when compared to non-Hispanic Whites and people of higher SES, particularly in cardio-metabolic diseases. Furthermore, having had a pregnancy-specific cardio-metabolic disease, e.g. preeclampsia, increases risk for future cardio-metabolic disease. Although these factors (race, SES and pregnancy cardio-metabolic disease) are interrelated, studies have rarely considered their combined effect on postpartum cardio-metabolic risk. The purpose of this study was to assess whether SES, race/ethnicity, and prenatal cardio-metabolic disease interact in the prediction of postpartum cardio-metabolic risk.

Methods: A sample of 1,753 low-income women of African American, Latina, non-Hispanic White race/ethnicity was recruited after a birth in 5 US sites. Household income was used to categorize poverty status as Poor (< Federal Poverty Level; FPL), near poor (100–200% FPL), or low/middle income (> 200% FPL). Three prenatal cardio-metabolic disease diagnoses (preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, gestational diabetes) were identified from medical records. Four biomarkers (mean arterial pressure, glycosylated haemoglobin, total cholesterol:HDL ratio, and waist-hip ratio) were collected at 6 and 12 months postpartum, and combined into an average postpartum cardio-metabolic risk index. Maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index, parity, health behaviors and employment status were covariates.

Results: Analyses revealed interactions of race/ethnicity, poverty status, and prenatal cardio-metabolic diseases in the prediction of postpartum cardio-metabolic risk. African American women had higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk, which was exacerbated following a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease. Low/middle income African American women had higher cardio-metabolic risk compared to poor African American, and all Latina and White women.

Conclusions: African American women, and especially those who experienced pregnancy complications, emerged as vulnerable, and greater household income did not appear to confer...
Introduction

African Americans, Latinx and those of lower socioeconomic status (SES) are disproportionately burdened by cardiovascular disease when compared to non-Hispanic Whites and those of higher SES (Mozumdar and Liguori 2011; Silva et al. 2008; Steegers et al. 2010). These disparities have been detected at multiple stages of disease progression, from morbidity and mortality to prevalence of pre-cardiovascular disease states, such as the metabolic syndrome, which is defined as a cluster of cardio-metabolic factors characterized by central adiposity, dyslipidemia, insulin resistance and hypertension (Grundy 2015; Smith et al. 2005). Reducing health disparities and their subsequent burden to individuals and society by identifying at-risk populations and understanding mechanisms underlying disparities is a research and clinical priority (Smith et al. 2005).

Child-bearing women are an important target group for cardiovascular disease prevention and intervention for many reasons. Metabolic syndrome prevalence has been increasing in child-bearing women, and disproportionately so for African Americans and Latinas, as compared to White women (Mozumdar and Liguori 2011; Ramos and Olden 2008). Pregnancy can be considered a physiological ‘stress test’ that may reveal risk for cardiovascular disease through development of prenatal cardio-metabolic diseases, i.e. gestational hypertension, gestational diabetes, and preeclampsia, which affect between 2% and 8% of pregnancies (Cain et al. 2016; Catov and Margerison-Zilko 2016; Kaaja and Greer 2005; Rodie et al. 2004). Prenatal cardio-metabolic diseases, although often resolved after childbirth (American Diabetes Association 2013; Podymow and August 2010), can result in lasting physiological damage that increases risk for cardio-metabolic disease postpartum, during subsequent pregnancies, and over the life course (Cain et al. 2016; Catov and Margerison-Zilko 2016; Kessous et al. 2013; Retnakaran et al. 2010; Rodie et al. 2004; Verier-Mine 2010). Again, African American/Latina or poorer women are at greater risk for both pregnancy and postpartum cardio-metabolic diseases (Bentley-Lewis et al. 2014; Esakoff et al. 2016; Eskenazi, Fenster, and Sidney 1991; Silva et al. 2008; Steegers et al. 2010).

Current research tends to approach the subject of a mother’s race/ethnicity, SES and prenatal cardio-metabolic disease diagnosis as independent risks for postpartum cardio-metabolic problems. These three factors, however, do not occur in isolation: African American and Latina women are at increased risk for developing prenatal cardio-metabolic diseases (Bentley-Lewis et al. 2014; Esakoff et al. 2016; Eskenazi, Fenster, and Sidney 1991) and are more likely to be of lower SES (Esakoff et al. 2016). Moreover, low SES has also been associated with increased risk for developing cardio-metabolic diseases in pregnancy (Silva et al. 2008; Steegers et al. 2010). Despite these findings, few studies examine all three risk factors together, and only three studies have explored whether race/ethnicity and prenatal cardio-metabolic diseases interact in predicting risk.
of postpartum cardio-metabolic health (Bentley-Lewis et al. 2014, 2016; Kousta et al. 2006).

In a sample of 4,010 women, Latinas who had gestational diabetes were at increased risk of postpartum hypertension compared to non-Latina White women, both with and without gestational diabetes (Bentley-Lewis et al. 2014). A second study of 850 participants found that women of African-Caribbean descent with gestational diabetes had worse cardio-metabolic risk and increased risk for metabolic syndrome compared to White women with gestational diabetes (Kousta et al. 2006). A third study of 17,655 women found that abnormal blood glucose during pregnancy was associated with increased postpartum hypertension risk among African American women, but not among White, Latina or Asian women, independent of maternal education and covariates (Bentley-Lewis et al. 2016). Although African American and Latina women with a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease (dysregulated blood sugar) had increased postpartum cardio-metabolic risk, only one of these studies controlled for any indicator of SES (Bentley-Lewis et al. 2016). This raises the question: Does having two or more of these three factors – being poor, African American or Latina, and having had a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease – increase risk of postpartum cardio-metabolic risk beyond their single contributions?

Therefore, the purpose of these analyses is to investigate if race/ethnicity, poverty status, and prenatal cardio-metabolic disease each independently predict cardio-metabolic risk during the postpartum period, and to test interactions among these variables. Latina, non-Hispanic White, and U.S.-born Black (African American) women were recruited by the Community Child Health Network (CCHN) from 5 study sites across the US following the birth of a child. Per capita household income was used to categorize participants into poverty groups relative to the Federal Poverty Line (FPL), and medical chart reviews identified women who had been diagnosed with a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease (i.e. gestational diabetes, gestational hypertension, or preeclampsia). Cardio-metabolic indicators were assessed at 6 and 12 months postpartum. Consistent with past research, we hypothesized that being African American or Latina, living below the poverty level, and a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease in the recent pregnancy would each predict higher cardio-metabolic risk postpartum, compared to non-Hispanic White race/ethnicity, living above the federal poverty level and no prenatal cardio-metabolic disease diagnosis, respectively. We further hypothesized that women with multiple exposures of these risk factors would demonstrate the highest cardio-metabolic risk over the postpartum compared to other women in the cohort.

Methods

Participants

The sample consisted of 1,753 women recruited between 2008 and 2010 from the Community Child and Health Network (CCHN) cohort. The CCHN study was a community-based, participatory research collaboration, which conducted a study of diverse, under-represented, low-income women who were recruited immediately following the birth of a child in one of five sites across the U.S.: Los Angeles, CA; Washington, DC; Baltimore, MD; Lake County, IL; and seven counties in rural eastern North Carolina. Study sites were selected based on epidemiological evidence of maternal and child health
disparities, and population characteristics reflective of high proportion of low-income and racial/ethnic minority groups with high morbidities. Eligibility criteria were 18–40 years of age; self-identification as non-Hispanic White, African American, or Latina; English or Spanish-speaking; at least 6 month residence in a target zip code; and, so as to be eligible for a follow-up study of a subsequent pregnancy, to have given birth to four or fewer children, and no immediate intention to be surgically sterilized. Additional information on participant recruitment protocols can be found elsewhere (BeLue et al. 2014; O’Campo et al. 2016). Participants provided informed consent, and all research institutions involved in this project obtained approval from respective Institutional Review Boards and ethics committees prior to data collection.

Procedure
Women were assessed using structured interviews in their homes at 1, 6 and 12 months postpartum. Mothers’ race/ethnicity and medical chart data on prenatal cardio-metabolic disease diagnosis were obtained at study entry, and participants reported household income at 1 month postpartum. Updates on income and other background variables were collected at each visit thereafter. Postpartum cardio-metabolic indicators were assessed at two time points (6 and 12 months): Resting blood pressure, waist and hip circumference, and dried blood spot (DBS)-derived cholesterol and glycosylated haemoglobin. Of the 2,106 mothers enrolled, 353 (16.8%) were missing key data: 318 did not have medical charts data, and 35 were missing cardio-metabolic data collected at home visits. The analytic sample consisted of 1,753 women. Missing participants were slightly older, had lower pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), more likely to be below the poverty level (poor group), and were more often of African American race (p’s < .05). In the analytic sample, large proportions of poor, African American, and low BMI women remained.

Race/ethnicity, poverty status, and prenatal cardio-metabolic diseases
Participants reported their place of birth and self-identified their race/ethnicity at study entry. Past-year pre-tax household income obtained at 1 month postpartum was used to calculate per capita household income (adjusted for household size). Adjusted household income relative to the Federal Poverty Line (FPL) was used to define poverty status: poor (< 100% of the FPL; $5141 +/- 8.955 average adjusted per capita household income), near poor (between 100% and 200% of the FPL; $10,152 +/- 8338), and low income (greater than 200% of the FPL; $32,339 +/- 37,940). Note that ‘poor’ women had lowest household income and ‘low income’ women highest household income.

Maternal chart reviews identified women diagnosed with a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease in the recent pregnancy. A diagnosis was indicated if women had either gestational diabetes, gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, or HELLP syndrome (a more severe form of preeclampsia). These prenatal cardio-metabolic disease conditions have been identified as the ‘metabolic syndrome’ of pregnancy (Kaaja and Greer 2005; Rodie et al. 2004).
Postpartum cardio-metabolic risk

Metabolic indicators were assessed at 6 and 12 months postpartum. Waist was measured at the navel, and hip at the maximal part of buttocks. Waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) was calculated by dividing the waist by the hip value.

Maternal blood pressure was assessed using a portable, automatic blood pressure machine (HEM-711DLX or HEM-907XL Pro blood pressure monitor, OMRON, Kyoto, Japan). Systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) values were used to calculate mean arterial pressure (MAP): MAP = 1/3 SBP + 2/3 DBP.

Non-fasting blood samples were collected from a finger onto a DBS card, which were stored at −30°C prior to shipment to ZRT Laboratory (Beaverton, OR) for assessment of glycosylated hemoglobin, total cholesterol and high density lipoproteins (HDL). Glycosylated haemoglobin is a proxy for fasting blood glucose, representing average red blood cell exposure to glucose over the previous 3 months. Immunoturbidimetic assay was used to determine percentage of total haemoglobin that was glycosylated, coefficients of variation (CVs) < 8.5. Higher values indicate greater blood glucose exposure. Non-fasting total cholesterol and HDL (mmol/L) were assessed via enzymatic assay, CVs < 7.1 and 8.7, respectively. The ratio of total cholesterol:HDL was calculated by dividing total cholesterol values by HDL values. Higher values indicate greater cardiovascular disease risk (Millan et al. 2009).

Zero-order correlations suggested that cardio-metabolic indicators were fairly stable between 6 and 12 months postpartum, average \( r = .52 \) with a range from .21 to .72. Individual cardio-metabolic indicators were each averaged across the two assessments. A cardio-metabolic risk index was then calculated by standardizing MAP, glycosylated haemoglobin, WHR and total cholesterol:HDL and averaging across the z-scored indicators. Values ranged from −1.77 SD to 3.23 SD, with higher values indicating higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk.

Covariates

Covariates included in each model were maternal age at study entry, medical chart-obtained parity (primiparous vs. multiparous), pre-pregnancy BMI, health behaviors (smoking, alcohol consumption), breastfeeding, maternal employment status, change in employment status over the follow-up, and change in household income over the follow-up. (For details see below).

Central adiposity is both a symptom and driver of pregnancy and postpartum cardio-metabolic risk and disease (Lee et al. 2015; Sebire et al. 2001), and may differ by race/ethnicity and poverty status (Ng et al. 2014). Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²) was determined using pre-pregnancy maternal weight and height obtained from maternal chart review.

Maternal health behaviors were assessed at 6 months postpartum. Both alcohol consumption and smoking status are associated with SES and cardio-metabolic risk (Paek et al. 2006). Participants were asked whether they consumed alcoholic beverages over a typical week. Because reported alcohol use in this sample was low (average 1.17+/−3.37 drinks/week), alcohol use was categorized and effect coded as ‘no alcohol consumption’ (−1) or ‘alcohol consumption’ (1). Participants were also asked if they currently smoked, and were categorized as non-smoker (−1) or smoker (1).
Breastfeeding is associated with lower postpartum cardio-metabolic risk and may vary by SES and race/ethnicity (Cordero et al. 2012; Countouris et al. 2016; Gunderson et al. 2010; Wiklund et al. 2012). Breastfeeding was assessed at 6 and 12 months postpartum, and coded into three groups as ‘never breastfed,’ ‘breastfed but stopped before 6 months postpartum,’ and ‘breastfed up to or past 6 months postpartum.’

Mothers’ return to work and employment status are associated with socioeconomic factors. Maternal employment status was assessed at 1 month postpartum. Women were coded as working full-time, part-time or not working. At postpartum, women were asked if their employment status had changed over the follow-up. Employment change was coded as ‘no change’ (0), ‘became employed’ (1) and ‘became unemployed’ (−1). At the same time, participants were asked if there had been any change in household income over the follow-up period. Change in household income was coded as ‘no change’ (0), ‘decrease in household income’ (−1), or ‘increase in household income’ (1).

Statistics
The frequency distributions of study variables were inspected for outliers and normality prior to analysis. All analyses were run using SPSS (IBM 2016). Logistic regression analyses were used to determine whether race/ethnicity or poverty status predicted probability of having been diagnosed with a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease, controlling for pre-pregnancy BMI, maternal age, and parity.

A 3 × 3 × 2 analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to assess whether maternal race/ethnicity, poverty group, prenatal cardio-metabolic disease and/or their interactions were associated with average cardio-metabolic risk between 6 and 12 months postpartum. Significant omnibus tests, indicating differences by predictor-defined groups, were followed by graphing the covariate-adjusted or estimated marginal group means and inspecting the estimated marginal group means 95% confidence intervals. Confidence intervals that do not overlap signify significant group differences. Maternal age, parity, pre-pregnancy BMI, health behaviors, breastfeeding, maternal employment status, change in employment status and change in household income were included as covariates in all models.

Results
Sample characteristics
Descriptive statistics on the sample are presented in Table 1. Approximately half the sample was African American (53%), and 43% had an adjusted household income below the FPL. Nearly one in five women (18%) were diagnosed with a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease over the previous pregnancy. Compared to non-Hispanic White women, the African American and Latina women were more likely to be in the poverty or near-poor groups (36%, 77%, 84% respectively, χ²(4) = 345, p < .001). For White women, adjusted per capita household income was on average $4215 +/− 2093 for the poor, $10,036 +/− 2168 for the near-poor, and $35,280 +/− 1140 for the low/middle-income groups. For Latina women, adjusted per capita household income was on average $3174 +/− 1375 for the poor, $8070 +/− 1329 for the
near-poor, and $21,488 +/− 2122 for the low/middle-income groups. And for African American women, adjusted per capita household income was on average $5007 +/− 838 for the poor, $8878 +/− 1255 for the near-poor, and $18,973 +/− 1271 for the low/middle-income groups.

**Predicting odds of prenatal cardio-metabolic disease by race/ethnicity and poverty status**

Logistic regression analyses were used to assess whether maternal race/ethnicity or poverty status was associated with probability of a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease, independent of maternal age, parity and pre-pregnancy BMI. Older age, \(b = 0.062, SE = 0.016, p < 0.001, OR = 1.06\), and higher pre-pregnancy BMI, \(b = 0.075, SE = 0.010, p < 0.001, OR = 1.08\), were associated with greater odds of prenatal cardio-metabolic disease. Compared to White and Latina women, African American women were more likely to have a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease diagnosis, \(b = 0.608, SE = 0.221, p = 0.006, OR = 1.84\) (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Sample characteristics. Metabolic indicators were averaged across the 6 and 12 month postpartum assessments. Prenatal cardio-metabolic diseases were defined as gestational hypertension, gestational diabetes, preeclampsia and/or HELLP syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>% (N) or Mn (SD)</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>% (N) or Mn (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>25.8 (5.72)</td>
<td>Breastfeeding:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.4 (7.25)</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity: Primiparous</td>
<td>45% (871)</td>
<td>Up to or past 6 months postpartum</td>
<td>20% (348)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use: Consumes alcohol</td>
<td>32% (539)</td>
<td>Employment status:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking Status: Non-smoker</td>
<td>81% (1390)</td>
<td>Stopped before 6 months postpartum</td>
<td>50% (872)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease:</td>
<td>18 (315)</td>
<td>Full-time work</td>
<td>12% (239)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in household income</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Employment status change</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>17 (253)</td>
<td>Became employed</td>
<td>20 (268)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>15 (217)</td>
<td>Became unemployed</td>
<td>27 (373)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity:</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Poverty Group:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>53% (1089)</td>
<td>Poor (≤ FPL)</td>
<td>43% (885)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latina</td>
<td>25% (509)</td>
<td>Near poor (100–200% FPL)</td>
<td>28% (577)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>23% (465)</td>
<td>Low income (&gt; 200% FPL)</td>
<td>29% (601)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cardio-metabolic components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-hip ratio</td>
<td>.871 (.076)</td>
<td>Total Cholesterol:HDL</td>
<td>4.08 (1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>94.8 (17.3)</td>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>73.3 (9.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosylated hemoglobin (%)</td>
<td>5.33 (.800)</td>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>111 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density lipoprotein (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.49 (.701)</td>
<td>Mean Arterial Pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>85.9 (8.17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; FPL = Federal Poverty Line; HDL = high density lipoprotein.

**Table 2.** Results of logistic regression predicting risk of prenatal cardio-metabolic disease (\(N = 1354\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>(b)</th>
<th>(SE)</th>
<th>(p)</th>
<th>(OR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy BMI</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>−.297</td>
<td>.159</td>
<td>.062</td>
<td>.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>.062</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American*</td>
<td>.608</td>
<td>.221</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latina*</td>
<td>.127</td>
<td>.243</td>
<td>.602</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty status</td>
<td>−.128</td>
<td>.089</td>
<td>.151</td>
<td>.880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Relative to women of other race/ethnicities.
Race/ethnicity, poverty status, and prenatal cardio-metabolic disease

A 3 × 3 × 2 ANCOVA was used to assess whether maternal race/ethnicity (African American, Latina, White), poverty status (poor, near poor, low income), and/or previous prenatal cardio-metabolic disease (present/absent) were associated with cardio-metabolic risk between 6 and 12 months postpartum, adjusting for covariates (Table 3).

Main effects were detected by race/ethnicity, $F(2, 769) = 13.4, p < .001$, such that African American women had highest, White women lowest, and Latina women intermediate postpartum cardio-metabolic risk (Figure 1(A)). Significant main effects were also detected by prenatal cardio-metabolic disease, $F(1, 769) = 24.5, p < .001$. Women with a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease had significantly higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk compared to those who were not diagnosed (Figure 1(B)). No main effects by poverty category were detected, $F(2, 769) = .507, p = .603$.

These main effects were qualified by significant statistical interactions. Maternal race/ethnicity and poverty status significantly interacted, $F(4, 769) = 3.73, p = .001$. Among Latina and White women, the expected socioeconomic gradient was observed, such that poor White and Latina women had a trend towards higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk compared to White and Latina women in the top income group (low income) (Figure 2). The opposite pattern, however, was observed among African Americans, such that African American women in the poor group had a trend towards higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk compared to White and Latina women in the top income group. That is, unlike White and Latina women, no protective effect by greater household income was observed among African American women, and in fact higher income women had higher risk.

Two-way interactions also emerged for prenatal cardio-metabolic disease by race/ethnicity, $F(2, 769) = 8.55, p < .001$, such that African American and Latina women with a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease had significantly higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk compared to African American and Latina women without disease. Furthermore, among women who had a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease, African American women had greater postpartum cardio-metabolic risk than Latina women (Figure 3). Prenatal cardio-metabolic disease did not significantly interact with poverty status to predict postpartum cardio-metabolic risk, $F(2, 769) = 2.91, p = .055$.

The three-way interaction between race/ethnicity, poverty status and prenatal cardio-metabolic diseases was not significant $F(4, 769) = 1.02, p = .396$, independent of covariates.

Discussion

Data collected from a unique cohort of diverse, low-income women was utilized to test whether race/ethnicity, poverty status, and prenatal cardio-metabolic diseases predicted cardio-metabolic risk, individually and interactively, between 6 and 12 months postpartum. Consistent with hypotheses and prior research, cardio-metabolic diseases diagnosed in pregnancy were strong predictors of postpartum cardio-metabolic risk, independent of race/ethnicity and poverty status. Also consistent, African American and Latina women had higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk compared to non-Latina White women. This result is notable because all women of all ethnicities were of relatively low income
Figure 1. Graphs of main effects. For graphing purposes, a constant of one was added to all marginal means. Sample average is indicated by the dark line at ‘1,’ and significant group differences by ‘*.’ (A) Average postpartum cardio-metabolic index by maternal race/ethnicity, independent of poverty group, prenatal cardio-metabolic disease diagnosis, and covariates. African American women had highest, Mn = .233 SD, SE = .033, 95CI(.169, .297), White women lowest Mn = −.090 SD, SE = .053, 95CI(−.195, −.014), and Latina women intermediate, Mn = .120 SD, SE = .055, 95CI(.011, .229). (B) Average postpartum cardio-metabolic index by prenatal cardio-metabolic disease (pre-eclampsia/HELLP, gestational hypertension, gestational diabetes) diagnosis, independent of poverty group, race/ethnicity, and covariates. Women with a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease, Mn = .226 SD, SE = .051, 95CI(.126, .327), had significantly higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk compared to those who had not, Mn = −.051 SD, SE = .022, 95CI(−.094, −.009).
Figure 2. Average metabolic index by the interaction between race/ethnicity and poverty category, independent of prenatal cardio-metabolic disease diagnosis and covariates. Trend towards higher income conferring protection in White and Latina women. However, a ‘reverse’ SES gradient was observed in African American women. Low income African American women had significantly higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk, Mn = .435 SD, SE = .062, .95CI(.313, .556) compared to poor African American women, Mn = .055 SD, SE = .043, .95CI(−.029, .139). For graphing purposes, a constant of one was added to all marginal means. Sample average is indicated by the dark line at ‘1,’ and significant group differences by ‘*’.

Table 3. Results of 3 × 3 × 2 ANCOVA predicting postpartum cardio-metabolic risk from maternal race/ethnicity, poverty status and previous prenatal cardio-metabolic disease diagnosis, controlling for age, parity, pre-pregnancy BMI, health behaviors (smoking, alcohol consumption), breastfeeding and maternal postpartum employment status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Covariates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy BMI</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breastfeeding</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in employment status</td>
<td>.745</td>
<td>.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in household income</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty group</td>
<td>.507</td>
<td>.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal cardio-metabolic disease</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-way interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity × poverty group</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity × Prenatal cardio-metabolic disease</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty group × Prenatal cardio-metabolic disease</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-way interaction</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>.396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and any further variation is controlled in the analyses. Poverty status was not independently associated with postpartum cardio-metabolic risk.

During the child-bearing years, African American and Latina women are more likely to be living in poverty than White women, and both African American race and Latina ethnicity, as well as poverty, have been linked to worse cardio-metabolic health in the general population. Despite these disparities, race/ethnicity and SES are rarely studied together. We were able to do so here in a large cohort because the larger CCHN study targeted specific communities for study with high disparities and low income. We found that race/ethnicity was the stronger predictor of postpartum cardio-metabolic risk, with African American and Latina women having higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk as compared to White women. Presence of a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease further increased this risk, which is consistent with past research (Bentley-Lewis et al. 2014, 2016; Kousta et al. 2006). This highlights differences in disease risk and burden experienced by African American and Latina women. We note that other groups not assessed here, such as Filipino women (Steegers et al. 2010), may also be at increased risk for prenatal and postpartum cardio-metabolic complications, and that future research should examine these associations in other races/ethnicities as well.

African American women emerged as a particularly vulnerable group and did not seem to benefit from higher household income. Compared to White and Latina women, African American women were 84% more likely to have had a cardio-metabolic disease in pregnancy, and also had highest postpartum cardio-metabolic risk. Higher household income was expected to confer protection and reduce disparities, but African American women with higher household income had greater cardio-metabolic risk compared to

![Figure 3. Average metabolic index by the interaction between prenatal cardio-metabolic disease diagnosis and race/ethnicity, independent of poverty group and covariates. Sample average is indicated by the dark line at ‘1,’ and significant group differences by ‘*.’ African American, Mn = .493 SD, SE = .057, 95CI(.381, .605), and Latina women, Mn = .264 SD, SE = .103, 95CI(.062, .466), with a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease had higher postpartum cardio-metabolic risk compared to women who had not.](image)
those with lower household income. This ‘reverse’ gradient by socioeconomic resources in African American samples has been observed in other studies, including of SES and inflammation (Beach et al. 2016; Brody et al. 2013) and glycemic control (Wang, Wiebe, and White 2011). There are also studies showing that, with increasing SES, African American women have either higher or no appreciable decrease in risk for preterm birth, low birthweight infants and infant mortality, as compared to White women (Ahern et al. 2003; Braveman et al. 2015; Colen et al. 2006; Collins et al. 2007; Collins and Hammond 1996; Gorman 1999; Lieberman et al. 1987; Messer, Kaufman et al. 2006; Messer, Laraia et al. 2006; Parker, Schoendorf, and Kiely 1994; Pickett et al. 2002; Reagan and Salsberry 2005; Savitz et al. 2004; Schempf et al. 2011; Schoendorf et al. 1992; Singh and Yu 1995; Slaughter-Acey et al. 2016; Starfield et al. 1991). Greater chronic stress exposure, including the pernicious effects of structural and personal discrimination with increasing income and education among African American women, has been thought to be a factor (Beach et al. 2016; Boylan et al. 2015; Brody et al. 2013). Insomuch as this sample consisted of all poor to low/middle income women, the results are striking, clearly highlighting the unique health challenges faced by African American women, and may shed further light on health disparities in maternal health.

Limitations to this study include a lack of detailed data on pre-pregnancy medical history. Further research is needed to understand preconception links to postpartum cardio-metabolic risk. We also do not have information on sub-clinical pregnancy or pre-conception cardio-metabolic health, such as blood pressure and blood sugar levels. Further research can assess how these indicators interact with race/ethnicity and SES to predict postpartum cardio-metabolic risk. Second, the CCHN cohort is unique in that it is comprised of low SES women, a group traditionally underrepresented in health research. Although we cannot generalize our findings to middle- or higher income women, these results may shed light on health challenges in the context of poverty. Future research may examine a broader SES distribution, especially among African American and Latina women.

In sum, we extended past research by considering whether having multiple demographic and medical risk factors, specifically African American race, Latina ethnicity, poverty, and prenatal cardio-metabolic disease together compound postpartum cardio-metabolic risk. African American women emerged as particularly vulnerable, especially following a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease. We hope that these results further our understanding of how health disparities and diseases of pregnancy may impact childbearing women cardio-metabolic health postpartum, with implications for longer term health of women.

Note

1. Total cholesterol:HDL ratio was the least stable ($r = .21$), likely due to these samples being non-fasting.
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Key messages
- In a sample of poor to low/middle income women, African American women were at significantly greater risk for having a prenatal cardio-metabolic disease (gestational hypertension, gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia) relative to non-Latina White and Latina women.
- A ‘reverse’ socioeconomic gradient was observed in this sample of low-income African American women, such that poor African American women had lower postpartum cardio-metabolic risk compared to African American women with higher incomes and compared to all Latina and White women.
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