


Psychosocial and demographic predictors of postpartum physical activity

Christine M. Guardino¹  · Calvin J. Hobel² · Madeleine U. Shalowitz³ · Sharon L. Ramey⁴ · Christine Dunkel Schetter⁵ on behalf of Community Child Health Network (CCHN)

Received: December 6, 2017 / Accepted: April 24, 2018 / Published online: May 8, 2018
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract Physical activity promotes better health outcomes across the lifespan, and provides physical and mental health benefits for women who have recently given birth. However, research has not adequately characterized physical activity levels or risk factors for inadequate physical activity during the postpartum period. The objective of the present study was to describe levels and correlates of physical activity at 6 months postpartum in mothers of diverse race/ethnicity (55% African American, 23% White, 22% Hispanic/Latina), with the majority living in or near poverty. We analyzed data collected by the five-site Community Child Health Network study. Women ($n = 1581$) were recruited shortly after the birth of a child. Multinomial logistic regression models tested associations of demographic factors and self-reported stress in several life domains with total physical activity levels at 6–9 months postpartum, including activities done at work,

at home, for transportation, and leisure. Thirty-five percent of participants in this sample reported low levels of physical activity. African American race, Latina ethnicity, and living in a rural area were associated with low levels of physical activity, whereas working outside the home was associated with high physical activity. Contrary to hypotheses, chronic stress was not associated with physical activity with the exception of financial stress, which predicted *greater* likelihood of being highly physically active. These findings suggest that optimal postpartum care should integrate physical activity promotion, and that African American, Latina, and rural-dwelling women may benefit most from efforts to promote activity following birth.

Keywords Physical activity · Postpartum · Health behaviors

✉ Christine M. Guardino
guardinc@dickinson.edu

- ¹ Department of Psychology, Dickinson College, P.O. Box 1773, Carlisle, PA 17013-2896, USA
- ² Division of Maternal–Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Burns and Allen Research Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- ³ North Shore University Health System Research Institute and Department of Pediatrics, University of Chicago, Evanston, IL, USA
- ⁴ Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute, Virginia Tech, Roanoke, VA, USA
- ⁵ Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
- ⁶ Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Rockville, MD, USA

Introduction

A large body of research demonstrates the health benefits of physical activity for people of all ages (Bauman, 2004; Fishman et al., 2016; Fox, 1999; Sallis et al., 2000; Vogel et al., 2009; Warburton et al., 2006). For example, physical activity in adults has been associated with reduced risk of and improvement in cardiovascular disease (Hagberg et al., 2000; Jolliffe et al., 2001; Thompson et al., 2003), better management of diabetes (Boulé et al., 2001; Orchard et al., 2005), and lower risk of major depressive disorder (Cooney et al., 2013; Rebar et al., 2015). Accordingly, physical activity is important for women’s health throughout the lifespan, and especially during the postpartum period.

After the birth of a child, physical activity may help women to lose excess weight that was gained during pregnancy, which has implications for long-term metabolic

and cardiovascular health. For example, women who resume physical activity during the postpartum period are less likely to retain excess weight gained during pregnancy (O'Toole et al., 2003; Olson et al., 2003). Physical activity is particularly important during the postpartum period because the year after the birth of a child may set the stage for the adoption of lifestyle habits that could either promote or impair long-term health and well-being in mothers as well as in their children (Hinkley et al., 2008; Moore et al., 1991). Physical activity may also be effective for preventing and treating postpartum depression in mothers (Armstrong & Edwards, 2003; Daley et al., 2007; Davis & Dimidjian, 2012; Sampselle et al., 1999).

Despite the extensively documented physical and mental health benefits of physical activity, most Americans do not meet the criteria set forth in the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans (Carlson et al., 2010). These guidelines advise all healthy adults to accumulate 150 min (2 h and 30 min) each week of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity (such as brisk walking or moderate bicycling), 75 min each week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity (such as jogging or swimming laps), or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2008). The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends that women gradually return to physical activity 4–6 weeks after delivery (American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2015), after which the suggested guidelines are the same as for the general population. However, cross-sectional and longitudinal studies indicate that new mothers are especially at risk of not meeting physical activity guidelines for an extended time, likely due to barriers such as fatigue, lack of time, and need for childcare (Brown et al., 2009; Evenson et al., 2009; Pereira et al., 2007).

The high proportion of women who do not meet physical activity recommendations during the year following childbirth suggests a need for effective interventions to provide education, reduce barriers, and integrate physical activity recommendations into routine postpartum care. Yet little research has explored predictors of physical activity during the postpartum period and thus it is unclear which women are most in need of interventions to promote adequate activity.

Patterns of physical activity may also be important for understanding pervasive disparities in maternal-child health outcomes. Specifically, low-income women and African-American women are at greater risk of delivering low birth weight and preterm infants. Adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes are in turn associated with poorer postpartum cardiovascular and metabolic health in mothers, which heightens risk of chronic illness across the

lifespan (O'Campo et al., 2016; Perng et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2016).

In seeking to understand the mechanisms that underlie health disparities, several theoretical models propose that the social disadvantages associated with racial/ethnicity minority status and low socioeconomic status result in increased exposure to psychosocial stressors, including discrimination, financial stress, and other chronic burdens and evidence supports this association (Dunkel Schetter et al., 2013). Greater stress exposure, in turn, is posited to increase the likelihood that an individual will engage in health-compromising behaviors such as smoking, poor diet, and substance use (Adler & Snibbe, 2003; Mezuk et al., 2013; Myers, 2009). While such models also emphasize more direct pathways involving biological stress processes, health-undermining behaviors including physical inactivity, of interest here, may also serve as an important mechanism underlying health disparities.

The current study addresses the need for research to understand how demographic factors and stress processes relate to physical activity patterns during the postpartum period in a large cohort of African American/Black, Latina/Hispanic, and non-Hispanic/White mothers, a majority of whom had household incomes near or below the federal poverty level. The data used in these analyses were collected by the Community Child Health Network (CCHN), funded by The Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institutes of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health. The overall goals of CCHN were to gain new insights into disparities in maternal health and child development and improve the health of families through community-based participatory research. This longitudinal study, which is unusual and valuable because of its sample demographics, assessed levels and correlates of physical activity in mothers from different parts of the United States.

Prior work by CCHN researchers has demonstrated that exposure to chronic stress and demands varies as a function of race/ethnicity and socioeconomic status (Dunkel Schetter et al., 2013), and that African-American women and women living in poverty have higher levels of allostatic load during the first postpartum year (O'Campo et al., 2016). The present study sought to build on these prior findings, and examine whether chronic stressors associated with low SES and race/ethnicity contribute to patterns of physical activity during the first postpartum year, which would support the idea that health behaviors are one mechanism underlying the association between social disadvantage and poorer health.

Physical activity is defined as “any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure” (Caspersen et al., 1985, p. 126) and includes leisure physical activity, transportation, childcare, occu-

pational activity, yard work and household chores. Although the term exercise is often used as a synonym for physical activity, exercise is more narrowly defined as physical activity that is planned, repetitive and purposive. Exercise is a structured, leisure-time type of physical activity, whereas physical activity also arises in domestic or occupational tasks.

Our hypotheses were aligned with models of the social determinants of health, which posit that disparities in health and health behaviors are the result of the stressful conditions in which vulnerable populations live. Specifically, we hypothesized that lower socioeconomic status (SES), indexed by measures of family income and educational attainment, would predict lower likelihood of sufficient physical activity (King et al., 2000; Trost et al., 2002). We also expected that African-American and Latina women would report lower levels of physical activity compared to non-Hispanic white women. The multi-site nature of the CCHN study also allowed us to further explore how the nature of the communities in which women live (rural, suburban, or urban) might be associated with physical activity. Based on prior findings (Brownson et al., 2001; James et al., 2013; Parks et al., 2003; Wilcox et al., 2000), women living in urban areas were expected to report higher levels of physical activity than individuals living in suburban and rural sites because of greater walkability in urban settings. Finally, we hypothesized that higher levels of stress would be associated with lower levels of physical activity. Individuals experiencing more chronic strains typically have less time and fewer opportunities as well as lowered motivation to engage in health-promoting behaviors. Consistent with this, general population studies show that stress adversely affects health behaviors (Hellerstedt & Jeffery, 1997; Ng & Jeffery, 2003; Stults-Kolehmainen & Sinha, 2014). but this issue has not been studied in diverse samples of postpartum women.

Methods

The sample was drawn from the larger pool of participants in the Community Child Health Network (CCHN) study, a prospective, longitudinal, multi-site study of 2510 mothers and 1436 of the fathers of their children. More detailed information about eligibility and recruitment procedures can be found in the CCHN core papers (Dunkel Schetter et al., 2013; O'Campo et al., 2016; Ramey et al., 2015). Briefly, the five study sites included three urban sites (Washington, DC; Baltimore, MD; Los Angeles County, CA); one suburban site (Lake County, IL); and one rural site (seven counties in eastern North Carolina). Women residing in the target areas were recruited and enrolled

during their postpartum hospital stay following the birth of an index child (except in North Carolina where participants were recruited in clinics during pregnancy or after delivery). Mothers who met the following criteria were eligible to participate: (1) between 18 and 40 years of age; (2) self-identification as either White/Caucasian, Latina/Hispanic, and/or African American/Black; (3) ability to converse in either English or Spanish; (4) residence in one of the target zip codes for at least 6 months; (5) 4 or fewer children; and (6) no plans to be surgically sterilized following the birth of the index child.

The study design was longitudinal over up to 2 years following a birth with assessments scheduled roughly every 6 months. Data for the present analyses come from structured interviews administered during in-home visits when the index children were approximately 1 month (T1) and 6 months (T2) of age. Community members experienced or trained in community research or clinical service delivery conducted interviews in the participant's choice of English or Spanish. Data were collected between June 2008 and December 2011.

Participants

1688 participants completed the 24–39 weeks postpartum (T2) study visit. We excluded those who were pregnant again at the time ($n = 74$) and those who had insufficient responses on the physical activity measure to categorize their physical activity levels ($n = 33$), for a final sample size of 1581 participants.

Measures

Physical activity

Physical activity was assessed at the T2 visit (24–39 weeks postpartum) using the short 9-item form of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). Participants were asked to recall activities performed for at least 10 min during the last 7 days. This measure assesses time spent in physical activity in combined work, housework, transportation, and leisure domains and at three different intensities: vigorous, moderate, and walking. Participants were provided with examples of activities that represent each intensity (e.g., “vigorous physical activities like heavy lifting, aerobics, or fast bicycling”) and then asked to report the number of days and number of hours and/or minutes per day they had spent doing each activity for a minimum of 10 min over the previous 7 days.

Using the instrument's well-validated scoring protocol, participants were then classified into categories representing low, moderate, and high levels of physical activity. Briefly, participants were classified as moderately active if

they reported 3 or more days of vigorous activity of at least 20 min per day, 5 or more days of moderate-intensity activity and/or walking of at least 30 min per day, or 5 or more days of any intensity activity totaling at least 600 MET-minutes per week. Participants were classified as highly active if they reported vigorous activity on at least 3 days and achieving at least 1500 MET-minutes/week or 7 or more days of any intensity activity accumulating at least 3000 MET-minutes/week. Participants who reported no activity or some activity but less than the moderate criteria were assigned to the low activity category.

The IPAQ short has acceptable test–retest reliability and demonstrates fair to moderate associations with concurrent accelerometry measures (Ainsworth et al., 2000, 2006; Hagstromer et al., 2006).

Stress measures

Financial stress

A financial stress index was created from five questions administered during the T1 interview. These items included the following: (1) “To what extent were worries about food, shelter, health care, and transportation stressful for you during your pregnancy?”; (2) “To what extent were money worries like paying bills stressful for you during your pregnancy?”; (3) “In the past year, did you have serious problems with money (such as a major loss of income or a debt that cannot be repaid)?”; (4) “How difficult is it for (you/your household) to meet the monthly payments on your (household’s) bills?”; (5) “How much do you worry that your total (household) income will not be enough to meet your (household’s) expenses and bills? (taken from the National Survey of Families and Households). Participants’ responses to these five items were averaged to create a composite score with a range of 1–4 with higher scores indicating higher levels of financial stress. Cronbach’s α for this composite score was .77.

Chronic life stress

Participants completed the semi-structured CCHN Life Stress Interview (LSI) (Tanner Stapleton et al., 2015) at T2. Participants responded to open-ended questions regarding *neighborhood environment, family relationships, co-parenting and partner relationship* in the previous 6 months (or since the child’s birth). Based on the objective conditions reported by the participant, interviewers assigned overall ratings in each domain. For each domain, interviewers assigned a score using a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*exceptionally positive conditions*) to 5 (*exceptionally negative conditions*). Trained CCHN inter-

viewers conducted all scoring during or immediately after administration of the interview. Interviews were also audio-recorded for later reliability and content analysis, and field stress ratings have subsequently demonstrated acceptable reliability and validity (Tanner Stapleton et al., 2015). Chronic stress summary scores (i.e., total LSI scores) were computed by averaging ratings over the four domains of Neighborhood, Family, Partner, and Co-parenting.

Perceived stress

Perceived stress was measured at T1 and T2 using the 10-item version of the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS; Cohen et al., 1983). Responses to the 10 items were each rated by participants on a scale ranging from 1 (*never*) to 5 (*almost always*) and summed after four positively worded items were reverse-coded. T1 and T2 scores ($r = .57, p < .0001$) were averaged to create a composite measure of perceived stress during the first 6 months after the birth of the index child, and this measure had a Cronbach’s α of .89.

Everyday racial discrimination

Reports of experiences of discrimination were measured at T1 using the Everyday Racism Scale (Williams et al., 1997). This measure assesses frequency of experiences of discrimination in everyday life such as being treated with less courtesy than others and receiving poorer service in restaurants or stores. In addition to the 9 items of the original scale, an item “being followed around the store” was added by CCHN. Respondents used a 6-point frequency scale ranging from 1 (*almost everyday*) to 6 (*never*). Participants who answered “a few times a year” or more to at least one of 10 items were then asked what they thought was the main reason for these experiences (e.g., race, gender, sexual orientation). To create a racial discrimination composite score, 5 (*less than once a year*) and 6 (*never*) categories were combined, and responses to individual items were then reverse-coded so that the item response scale ranged from 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating more frequent experiences of discrimination. The measure used in this study includes the sum of experience ratings the participant attributed to race, skin color, accent, or ancestry, with a possible range of 0–40. Cronbach’s α for this measure was .81.

Demographic variables

Demographic variables included participants’ self-reported primary racial/ethnic identification at the time of study enrollment (African American/Black, White/Caucasian, or

Latina/Hispanic), years of education completed, per capita household income (total household income from all sources before taxes divided by the number of individuals in the household), parity (number of children prior to study child) and study site. Because the distribution of per capita household income was not normally distributed (skew = 7.43, kurtosis = 90.56) and preliminary analyses indicated a non-linear association between income and physical activity, cost-of-living adjusted per capita household income was coded into quintiles based on the sample distribution.

Data analytic plan

Hypotheses were tested using multinomial logistic regression. The multinomial regression models estimated the likelihood of being in the moderate and high activity categories relative to the low activity category as a function of predictor variables. This approach was used rather than ordinal logistic regression because the assumption of proportional odds required for fitting ordinal models was not satisfied (i.e., the associations between a given predictor and physical activity differed across categories of physical activity).

Results

Table 1 displays the characteristics of participants. Thirty-five percent of the sample were in the low activity category, 33% were moderately active, and 32% were highly active.

As Table 2 shows, five of the eight demographic variables achieved statistical significance when entered into a simultaneous multinomial logistic regression (overall model $\chi^2(30, N = 1581) = 101.45, p < .001$). African American and Latina women were less likely to be moderately or highly active in comparison to White/non-Hispanic women. Women residing in rural areas were less likely to be moderately or highly active than women living in urban or suburban areas. Having more than one child was associated with lower likelihood of moderate activity, but not with likelihood of high activity. Women who were unemployed or not working by choice were less likely to be highly active than women who were working full- or part-time. Finally, older maternal age was associated with lower likelihood of being highly active. Relationship status was not associated with physical activity in this multivariate model.

Table 3 presents the means for the dimensions of chronic stress by physical activity levels. Financial stress was significantly higher in the high activity group than in

Table 1 Characteristics of the study sample (n = 1581)

Categorical variables	n	(%)
Race/ethnicity		
African American/Black	861	(54.5)
White/Caucasian	368	(23.3)
Hispanic/Latina	352	(22.2)
Per capita household income quintiles		
Q1 (\$0–2083)	309	(19.5)
Q2 (\$2100–4875)	310	(19.6)
Q3 (\$5167–9375)	305	(19.3)
Q4 (\$9500–20,833)	332	(21.0)
Q5 (\$21,250–500,000)	325	(20.6)
Employment status		
Working part-time	250	(16.1)
Working full-time	445	(28.7)
Not working by choice	416	(26.8)
Unemployed	440	(28.4)
Relationship status		
Not married or cohabitating	684	(43.3)
Cohabitating, not married	396	(25.1)
Married	501	(31.7)
Site		
Baltimore	363	(25.1)
Lake County, IL	396	(25.1)
Los Angeles County	191	(19.4)
Eastern North Carolina	306	(20.6)
Washington, DC	325	(25.1)
Type of residential area		
Urban	879	(55.6)
Suburban	396	(25.1)
Rural	306	(19.4)
Parity		
One	675	(45.6)
Two	557	(37.6)
Three	238	(16.1)
Four	12	(0.8)
Continuous variables		
Age	25.8	(5.7)
Education (years completed)	13.0	(2.8)

the low and moderate activity groups. There were no significant differences among groups on any other stress measures. Each type of chronic stress was then entered along with covariates into a separate multinomial logistic regression model with physical activity as the criterion variable. Table 4 shows that financial stress was significantly associated with greater likelihood of high activity such that each one unit increase in financial stress was associated with 1.4 times the odds of high activity after controlling for race/ethnicity, income, multiparity (having

Table 2 Multivariate multinomial logistic regression models predicting physical activity categories from demographic variables (n = 1581)

	OR for moderate activity vs. low activity		OR for high activity vs. low activity	
	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
Race/ethnicity				
White	Ref.			
Black	0.44***	(0.30–0.67)	0.45***	(0.30–0.69)
Latina	0.58*	(0.37–0.92)	0.58*	(0.37–0.91)
Per capita household income				
Q1	Ref.			
Q2	0.86	(0.56–1.31)	1.36	(0.90–1.87)
Q3	1.16	(0.76–1.77)	1.21	(0.54–1.16)
Q4	0.78	(0.51–1.18)	0.77	(0.57–1.36)
Q5	0.95	(0.59–1.53)	0.96	(0.59–1.56)
Education (years completed)	1.01	(0.95–1.07)	1.01	(0.94–1.07)
Residential area				
Urban	Ref.			
Suburban	0.61**	(0.44–0.85)	0.83	(0.59–1.17)
Rural	0.43***	(0.29–0.62)	0.49***	(0.34–0.70)
Multiparity	0.75*	(0.56–0.99)	1.21	(0.91–1.62)
Relationship status				
Not married or cohabitating	Ref.			
Cohabitating, not married	0.83	(0.58–1.16)	0.79	(0.56–1.10)
Married	1.08	(0.73–1.60)	0.84	(0.56–1.24)
T2 employment				
Not working by choice	Ref.			
Working part-time	1.21	(0.80–1.82)	1.55*	(1.03–2.34)
Working full-time	1.26	(0.88–1.80)	1.75**	(1.22–2.52)
Unemployed	0.93	(0.65–1.34)	0.94	(0.65–1.36)
Age	1.01	(0.98–1.04)	0.97*	(0.94–1.00)

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < .001$

Table 3 Descriptive statistics for stress variables, by physical activity level

Variables	Physical activity (T2)						<i>p</i>
	Low		Moderate		High		
	M	(SD)	M	(SD)	M	(SD)	
Financial stress	1.80 _a	(0.67)	1.82 _a	(0.68)	1.98 _b	(0.72)	< .001
Life stress							
Neighborhood stress	2.12	(0.88)	2.13	(0.87)	2.14	(0.89)	.91
Family	1.86	(0.80)	1.83	(0.81)	1.94	(0.87)	.09
Co-parenting	2.17	(1.36)	2.01	(1.28)	2.16	(1.29)	.08
Partner relationship	1.82	(0.83)	1.77	(0.86)	1.84	(0.85)	.41
Total	1.99	(0.64)	1.93	(0.70)	2.02	(0.67)	.10
Discrimination	2.39	(4.47)	2.42	(4.60)	2.37	(4.44)	.98
Perceived stress	13.29	(6.00)	12.83	(5.58)	13.60	(5.67)	.10

Differing subscripts across groups (a, b) indicate that groups differ at $p < .05$

Table 4 Multinomial logistic regression model testing financial stress as a predictor of physical activity categories

Variable	OR for moderate activity vs. low activity		OR for high activity vs. low activity	
	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
<i>Unadjusted</i>				
Financial stress	1.02	(0.85–1.22)	1.42***	(1.20–1.69)
<i>Adjusted</i>				
Financial stress	1.05	(0.89–1.39)	1.40***	(1.15–1.70)
Race/ethnicity				
White	Ref.			
Black	0.47***	(0.31–0.75)	0.48***	(0.32–0.72)
Latina	0.50**	(0.31–0.81)	0.53**	(0.34–0.82)
Per capita household income				
Q1	Ref.			
Q2	0.95	(0.59–1.55)	1.38	(0.77–1.94)
Q3	1.34	(0.84–2.14)	1.20	(0.65–1.69)
Q4	0.88	(0.54–1.44)	0.81	(0.52–1.39)
Q5	1.04	(0.60–1.79)	1.10	(0.50–1.55)
Residential area				
Urban	Ref.			
Suburban	0.76**	(0.43–1.85)	0.78	(0.57–1.27)
Rural	0.44***	(0.30–0.64)	0.49***	(0.30–0.71)
Multiparity	0.76	(0.60–1.00)	1.18	(0.89–1.56)
T2 employment				
Not working by choice	Ref.			
Working part-time	1.17	(0.60–1.15)	1.55*	(1.03–2.35)
Working full-time	1.17	(0.74–1.86)	1.73**	(1.20–2.49)
Unemployed	0.82	(0.55–1.24)	0.93	(0.65–1.34)
Age	1.01	(0.98–1.04)	0.96**	(0.93–0.99)

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < .001$

more than one prior birth), type of residential area, employment, and age. Financial stress was not significantly associated with altered odds of moderate activity.

Discussion

A social determinants perspective on health disparities argues that social and physical conditions underlie SES and race/ethnicity differences in health behaviors and health outcomes. In this study, we hypothesized that lower education, lower income, and African American race and Latina ethnicity would each be associated with lower levels of physical activity in postpartum women, consistent with a social determinants explanation of health disparities. We also hypothesized that women in urban areas would have higher physical activity than those in rural areas, and finally, that those with chronic stress would have lower physical activity. All hypotheses were tested in multivari-

ate analyses in a large sample of women who were interviewed in their homes at approximately 6 months after birth and controlling for age, marital status and cohabitation, and whether it was a first birth. The variables associated with greater likelihood of low levels of physical activity (as compared to high) were self-identified as African American or Latina, residence in rural areas, unemployment, not working by choice, and older age. In addition, likelihood of low (as opposed to moderate) physical activity was associated with identifying as African American or Latina, living in a rural area, and multiparity. Unrelated to physical activity were the indicators of SES (income, education) and marital/cohabitation status.

The differences in self-reported levels of physical activity by race/ethnicity are consistent with past findings from nationally representative samples (Carlson et al., 2010; Schiller et al., 2012). We extended these findings by studying physical activity in the 6 months following a birth and by using multivariate models which demonstrated that

differences in physical activity by race/ethnicity are not fully explained in this relatively low income sample by confounding factors of income and education, nor are they explained by marital status or cohabitation, age, parity, type of residential area, and employment status (Crespo et al., 2000; Mathieu et al., 2012). These findings are largely consistent with theory on social determinants of health disparities that views social factors and their social-structural correlates as larger cause of health disparities and posits mechanisms involving psychosocial and behavioral processes such as racism, stress, and low resource neighborhoods. Although income and education were not significant predictors of physical activity in this largely low-income sample, this does not rule out differences when the full SES continuum in the US population is considered. Moreover, we did find differences in this sample in levels of physical activity between non-Hispanic white women and women of color when many other relevant factors were controlled, that were consistent with a social determinants view of health disparities.

These racial/ethnic differences in physical activity are likely due to differences in social and environmental factors that differentially influence physical activity and other health behaviors in women as articulated by social determinants and related theories. For example, research suggests that African American and Latina women, especially those of low-income, are more likely than White women to live in neighborhoods that lack facilities such as parks or recreation centers, and that pose safety risks for being outside, thus providing fewer opportunities for exercise (Baruth et al., 2014; Eyler et al., 1998; Fleury & Lee, 2006). Second, disparities in infant and maternal health status during the year after childbirth may play a role in physical activity patterns. Women who identify as African American or with certain Latina subgroups are more likely to experience complications in pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes, and these pervasive maternal-child health disparities patterned by race/ethnicity would contribute to postpartum recovery, health, and to physical activity. Thus, women may return to physical activity more slowly if there were complications during labor and delivery such as preeclampsia, or when caring for a preterm or low birth-weight infant. Third, certain specific social and cultural norms may influence physical activity. For example, the cultural importance of the caregiver role among Latinas emphasizes putting the needs of others before the needs of the self, and this expectation may discourage women from engaging in self-care behaviors including physical activity (Im et al., 2010; Juarbe, 1998; King et al., 2000). Cultural attitudes about changes a woman's weight and body shape over the life course may or may not also play a part (Mama et al., 2011; Viladrich et al., 2009; Wolfe, 2000).

Counter to predictions, most stress measures were not significantly associated with physical activity levels; in fact, of the eight stress variables examined, only financial stress was significantly associated with physical activity, and the direction of this effect ran counter to hypotheses. That is, greater financial stress was associated with being more highly active. Although analyses controlled for employment status, financially-stressed participants may work a greater number of hours at more physically demanding jobs out of necessity. Transportation options may be more limited among women experiencing financial stress, resulting in reliance on public transportation and walking. Assistance from others with physically demanding household chores and childcare duties may also be more limited in those reporting financial stress, requiring additional energy expenditure to complete the activities of daily life. In sum, women with fewer economic resources may be able to afford fewer necessities and conveniences than women who have greater resources, and this may result in exerting more physical activity during their daily tasks.

Of note, the other seven measures of stress that captured perceived stress, overall life stress, and neighborhood, family, co-parenting, and partner relationship chronic stress as well as discrimination were not associated with physical activity as hypothesized based on theory and prior studies (Hamer et al., 2012; Ng & Jeffery, 2003; Penedo & Dahn, 2005). While some research suggests that individuals who live in chronically stressful environments may cope by engaging in unhealthy behaviors (Jackson et al., 2010; Kershaw et al., 2010; Mezuk et al., 2010), we did not find support for an association between physical activity and multiple forms of chronic stress including everyday discrimination in this sample of postpartum women. Nonetheless, these forms of chronic stress are typically associated with low SES as they were in this sample (Dunkel Schetter et al., 2013) and evidence suggests they contribute to health disparities broadly.

Notably, we also did not find associations of physical activity with perceived stress, which was surprising given evidence of the stress-reducing effects of regular exercise. One possible explanation for this finding is that physical activity as a part of daily activities does not have the same stress-reducing effects as more purposeful exercise. Although activity that is performed as part of job or household responsibilities is likely to provide physical health benefits because it engages the same cardiovascular and metabolic systems as recreational exercise, it may not result in the relaxation and/or mental health benefits that are associated with leisure-time activity. As evidence, four population surveys in the United States and Canada showed that recreational physical activities but not household chores were associated with better psychological well

being (Stephens, 1988). This point is well-illustrated by a quote from a participant in a qualitative study of physical activity in minority women conducted by Eyler et al. (1998):

I'm a fast walker [at work] because I have to get where I'm going in a hurry. So I walk a lot, but it's not like being on a tread[mill] at the rec center or fitness center. It's totally different because what you can do during that time walking, not only are you working your body, but you're working with your mind at the same time. (p. 643)

Evidence from animal models also suggests that not all physical activity is equally beneficial in terms of stress management. Forced treadmill running or swimming does not seem to have the same benefits as voluntary wheel running. In fact, forced treadmill running (but not voluntary wheel running) results in physiological adaptations associated with chronic stress, which suggests that involuntary physical activity may exacerbate rather than help with stress (Moraska & Fleshner, 2001).

This study also shows that living in an urban or rural area may contribute to levels of physical activity. The highest rates of physical inactivity were observed in the rural North Carolina site where participants were 50% less likely to be moderately or highly active as compared to urban residents, after adjusting for race/ethnicity, SES, parity, relationship status, employment status, and age. This finding is consistent with results from national cross-sectional studies showing lower physical activity among residents of less densely populated areas and may be due to lack of access to indoor exercise facilities, lack of sidewalks on neighborhood streets, or lower likelihood of walking as a means of transportation (Brownson et al., 2001; Parks et al., 2003; Wilcox et al., 2000).

This study is limited by not having data from pre-pregnancy to know if pregnancy and subsequent parenting responsibilities altered patterns of women's physical activity, and by the assessment of physical activity through self-report, which may be inaccurate. Future studies with objective assessments of physical activity using accelerometers together with daily diaries could address methodological problems with self-reported physical activity. Also, the instrument used here was not designed to differentiate between leisure and required activities and the inclusion of non-leisure activities in our assessment of physical activity, whereas past studies have primarily focused on physical activities engaged in during leisure-time. By including exercise due to everyday activities, women who were working full- or part-time were more likely to be highly active than women who were unemployed or not working by choice. Thus, a higher level of

activity among employed women may also be due to additional movement from traveling to and from work, and may reflect physical activities demanded by the types of jobs the women held in this sample of predominantly low-income families. Thus, we do not know if the counter-intuitive results for income and education are due to the relatively low-income sample, or that physical activity measure included non-leisure activities. Additionally, this study examined mostly individual-level predictors of physical activity, although physical activity is likely shaped by factors at multiple levels including family, neighborhood, and region. Future studies can consider neighborhood-level predictors of physical activity and examine the importance of social norms around physical activity during the first postpartum year. Similarly, future research should consider the extent to which health care providers provide health promotion advice, especially the importance of achieving the recommended weekly levels of physical activity, to this population and should seek to document the objective and perceived barriers to achieving optimal levels of physical activity.

These results have potential implications for understanding and improving maternal health. More than one-third of the women in this sample reported low levels of physical activity. Because inadequate physical activity is so strongly linked to adverse cardiovascular outcomes in general, women who do not engage in much moderate to vigorous physical activity during the first postpartum year appear may face greater short- and long-term risks. The findings from this study suggest that optimal routine postpartum care should integrate physical activity assessment and promotion. Unfortunately, standard medical care after the birth of a child is focused almost exclusively on the infant and postpartum maternal self-care is rarely emphasized, representing a missed opportunity to enhance women's health and well-being. Moreover, increased focus on preconception health is occurring in maternal-child health policy and practice (Johnson et al., 2006; Lu, 2007). Health behaviors such as diet, smoking, and others may be especially important during the postpartum period among women who are planning to have additional children because this time is also a preconception period for the next child (Ramey et al., 2015). For these reasons, the postpartum period is an ideal time to focus efforts on improving women's lifelong health. The findings from this study support the recommendation that African American, Latina, rural-dwelling, and non-working women are important target populations for effective physical activity promotion efforts, ideally starting before pregnancy, continuing during pregnancy, and extending well into the first post-partum year.

Funding The CCHN was supported through cooperative agreements with the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (U HD44207, U HD44219, U HD44226, U HD44245, U HD44253, U HD54791, U HD54019, U HD44226-05S1, U HD44245-06S1, R03 HD59584) and the National Institute for Nursing Research (U NR008929).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest Christine M. Guardino, Calvin J. Hobel, Madeleine U. Shalowitz, Sharon L. Ramey and Christine Dunkel Schetter, on behalf of Community Child Health Network (CCHN) declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Human and animal rights and Informed consent All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committees and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

- Adler, N. E., & Snibbe, A. C. (2003). The role of psychosocial processes in explaining the gradient between socioeconomic status and health. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 12(4), 119–123. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.01245>
- Ainsworth, B. E., Bassett, D. R., Jr., Strath, S. J., Swartz, A. M., O'Brien, W. L., Thompson, R. W., et al. (2000). Comparison of three methods for measuring the time spent in physical activity. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 32, S457–S464.
- Ainsworth, B. E., Macera, C., Jones, D., Reis, J. P., Addy, C. L., Bowles, H. R., et al. (2006). Comparison of the 2001 BRFSS and the IPAQ Physical Activity Questionnaires. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 38, 1584–1592. <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000229457.73333.9a>
- American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology. (2015). *Physical activity and exercise during pregnancy and the postpartum period. Committee Opinion No. 650*.
- Armstrong, K., & Edwards, H. (2003). The effects of exercise and social support on mothers reporting depressive symptoms: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 12, 130–138.
- Baruth, M., Sharpe, P. A., Parra-Medina, D., & Wilcox, S. (2014). Perceived barriers to exercise and healthy eating among women from disadvantaged neighborhoods: Results from a focus groups assessment. *Women & Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03630242.2014.896443>
- Bauman, A. E. (2004). Updating the evidence that physical activity is good for health: An epidemiological review 2000–2003. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 7, 6–19.
- Boulé, N. G., Haddad, E., Kenny, G. P., Wells, G. A., & Sigal, R. J. (2001). Effects of exercise on glycemic control and body mass in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *JAMA*, 286, 1218–1227.
- Brown, W. J., Heesch, K. C., & Miller, Y. D. (2009). Life events and changing physical activity patterns in women at different life stages. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 37, 294–305.
- Brownson, R. C., Baker, E. A., Housemann, R. A., Brennan, L. K., & Bacak, S. J. (2001). Environmental and policy determinants of physical activity in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91, 1995–2003.
- Carlson, S. A., Fulton, J. E., Schoenborn, C. A., & Loustalot, F. (2010). Trend and prevalence estimates based on the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 39, 305–313.
- Caspersen, C. J., Powell, K. E., & Christenson, G. M. (1985). Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: Definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Reports*, 100(2), 126–131.
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 24, 385–396.
- Cooney, G. M., Dwan, K., Greig, C. A., Lawlor, D. A., Rimer, J., Waugh, F. R., et al. (2013). Exercise for depression. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004366.pub6>
- Crespo, C. J., Smit, E., Andersen, R. E., Carter-Pokras, O., & Ainsworth, B. E. (2000). Race/ethnicity, social class and their relation to physical inactivity during leisure time: Results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 18, 46–53.
- Daley, A. J., MacArthur, C., & Winter, H. (2007). The role of exercise in treating postpartum depression: A review of the literature. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 52, 56–62.
- Davis, K., & Dimidjian, S. (2012). The relationship between physical activity and mood across the perinatal period: A review of naturalistic and clinical research to guide future investigation of physical activity-based interventions for perinatal depression. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 19, 27–48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2850.2012.01273.x>
- Dunkel Schetter, C., Schafer, P., Lanzi, R. G., Clark-Kauffman, E., Raju, T. N. K., & Hillemeier, M. M. (2013). Shedding light on the mechanisms underlying health disparities through community participatory methods: the stress pathway. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8, 613–633. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691613506016>
- Evenson, K. R., Aytur, S. A., & Borodulin, K. (2009). Physical activity beliefs, barriers, and enablers among postpartum women. *Journal of Women's Health*, 18, 1925–1934. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2008.1309>
- Eyler, A. A., Baker, E., Cromer, L. C., King, A. C., Brownson, R. C., & Donatelle, R. J. (1998). Physical activity and minority women: A qualitative study. *Health Education & Behavior*, 25, 640–652.
- Fishman, E. I., Steeves, J. A., Zipunnikov, V., Koster, A., Berrigan, D., Harris, T. A., et al. (2016). Association between objectively measured physical activity and mortality in NHANES. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 48, 1303–1311. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000885>
- Fleury, J., & Lee, S. M. (2006). The social ecological model and physical activity in African American women. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 37, 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-005-9002-7>
- Fox, K. R. (1999). The influence of physical activity on mental well-being. *Public Health Nutrition*, 2, 411–418.
- Hagberg, J. M., Park, J.-J., & Brown, M. D. (2000). The role of exercise training in the treatment of hypertension: An update. *Sports Medicine*, 30, 193–206.
- Hagstromer, M., Oja, P., & Sjostrom, M. (2006). The International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ): A study of concurrent and construct validity. *Public Health Nutrition*, 9, 755–762.
- Hamer, M., Endrighi, R., & Poole, L. (2012). Physical activity, stress reduction, and mood: Insight into immunological mechanisms. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 934, 89–102. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-071-7_5
- Hellerstedt, W. L., & Jeffery, R. W. (1997). The association of job strain and health behaviours in men and women. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 26, 575–583.

- Hinkley, T., Crawford, D., Salmon, J., Okely, A. D., & Hesketh, K. (2008). Preschool children and physical activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 34(5), 435–441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2008.02.001>
- Im, E.-O., Lee, B., Hwang, H., Yoo, K. H., Chee, W., Stuifbergen, A., et al. (2010). “A waste of time”: Hispanic women’s attitudes toward physical activity. *Women and Health*, 50, 563–579. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03630242.2010.510387>
- Jackson, J. S., Knight, K. M., & Rafferty, J. A. (2010). Race and unhealthy behaviors: Chronic stress, the HPA axis, and physical and mental health disparities over the life course. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100, 933–939. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2008.143446>
- James, P., Troped, P. J., Hart, J. E., Joshi, C. E., Colditz, G. A., Brownson, R. C., et al. (2013). Urban sprawl, physical activity, and body mass index: Nurses’ health study and nurses’ health study II. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(2), 369–375.
- Johnson, K., Posner, S. F., Biermann, J., Cordero, J. F., Atrash, H. K., Parker, C. S., et al. (2006). Recommendations to improve preconception health and health care—United States. A report of the CDC/ATSDR Preconception Care Work Group and the Select Panel on Preconception Care. *MMWR. Recommendations and Reports: Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. Recommendations and Reports/Centers for Disease Control*, 55, 1–23.
- Jolliffe, J. A., Rees, K., Taylor, R. S., Thompson, D., Oldridge, N., & Ebrahim, S. (2001). Exercise-based rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Systematic Review*, 1, CD001800.
- Juarbe, T. C. (1998). Cardiovascular disease-related diet and exercise experiences of immigrant Mexican women. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 20, 765–782.
- Kershaw, K. N., Mezuk, B., Abdou, C. M., Rafferty, J. A., & Jackson, J. S. (2010). Socioeconomic position, health behaviors, and C-reactive protein: a moderated-mediation analysis. *Health Psychology*, 29, 307–316. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019286>
- King, A. C., Castro, C., Wilcox, S., Eyler, A. A., Sallis, J. F., & Brownson, R. C. (2000). Personal and environmental factors associated with physical inactivity among different racial-ethnic groups of U.S. middle-aged and older-aged women. *Health Psychology*, 19, 354–364.
- Lu, M. (2007). Recommendations for preconception care. *American Family Physician*, 76, 397–400.
- Mama, S. K., Quill, B. E., Fernandez-Esquer, M. E., Reese-Smith, J. Y., Banda, J. A., & Lee, R. E. (2011). Body image and physical activity among Latina and African American women. *Ethnicity and Disease*, 21(3), 281–287.
- Mathieu, R. A., Powell-Wiley, T. M., Ayers, C. R., McGuire, D. K., Khera, A., Das, S. R., et al. (2012). Physical activity participation, health perceptions, and cardiovascular disease mortality in a multiethnic population: The Dallas Heart Study. *American Heart Journal*, 163, 1037–1040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2012.03.005>
- Mezuk, B., Abdou, C. M., Hudson, D., Kershaw, K. N., Rafferty, J. A., Lee, H., et al. (2013). “White Box” epidemiology and the social neuroscience of health behaviors. *Society and Mental Health*, 3, 79–95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2156869313480892>
- Mezuk, B., Rafferty, J. A., Kershaw, K. N., Hudson, D., Abdou, C. M., Lee, H., et al. (2010). Reconsidering the role of social disadvantage in physical and mental health: stressful life events, health behaviors, race, and depression. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 172, 1238–1249. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwq283>
- Moraska, A., & Fleshner, M. (2001). Voluntary physical activity prevents stress-induced behavioral depression and anti-KLH antibody suppression. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, 281, R484–R489. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.2001.281.2.R484>
- Moore, L. L., Lombardi, D. A., White, M. J., Campbell, J. L., Oliveria, S. A., & Ellison, R. C. (1991). Influence of parents’ physical activity levels on activity levels of young children. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 118(2), 215–219. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476\(05\)80485-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476(05)80485-8)
- Myers, H. F. (2009). Ethnicity- and socio-economic status-related stresses in context: An integrative review and conceptual model. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 32, 9–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-008-9181-4>
- Ng, D. M., & Jeffery, R. W. (2003). Relationships between perceived stress and health behaviors in a sample of working adults. *Health Psychology*, 22, 638–642. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.22.6.638>
- O’Campo, P., Schetter, C. D., Guardino, C. M., Vance, M. R., Hobel, C. J., Ramey, S. L., et al. (2016). Explaining racial and ethnic inequalities in postpartum allostatic load: Results from a multisite study of low to middle income women. *SSM-Population Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2016.10.014>
- O’Toole, M. L., Sawicki, M. A., & Artal, R. (2003). Structured diet and physical activity prevent postpartum weight retention. *Journal of Women’s Health*, 12, 991–998. <https://doi.org/10.1089/154099903322643910>
- Olson, C. M., Strawderman, M. S., Hinton, P. S., & Pearson, T. A. (2003). Gestational weight gain and postpartum behaviors associated with weight change from early pregnancy to 1 y postpartum. *International Journal of Obesity*, 27, 117–127.
- Orchard, T. J., Temprosa, M., Goldberg, R., Haffner, S., Ratner, R., Marcovina, S., et al. (2005). The effect of metformin and intensive lifestyle intervention on the metabolic syndrome: The Diabetes Prevention Program randomized trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 142, 611.
- Parks, S. E., Housemann, R. A., & Brownson, R. C. (2003). Differential correlates of physical activity in urban and rural adults of various socioeconomic backgrounds in the United States. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 57, 29–35.
- Penedo, F. J., & Dahn, J. R. (2005). Exercise and well-being: A review of mental and physical health benefits associated with physical activity. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 18, 189. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001504-200503000-00013>
- Pereira, M. A., Rifas-Shiman, S. L., Kleinman, K. P., Rich-Edwards, J. W., Peterson, K. E., & Gillman, M. W. (2007). Predictors of change in physical activity during and after pregnancy: Project Viva. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 32, 312–319.
- Perng, W., Stuart, J., Rifas-Shiman, S. L., Rich-Edwards, J. W., Stuebe, A., & Oken, E. (2015). Preterm birth and long-term maternal cardiovascular health. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 25, 40–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2014.10.012>
- Ramey, S. L., Schafer, P., Declerque, J. L., Lanzi, R. G., Hobel, C., Shalowitz, M., et al. (2015). The Preconception Stress and Resiliency Pathways model: A multi-level framework on maternal, paternal, and child health disparities derived by Community-Based Participatory Research. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 19, 707–719. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-014-1581-1>
- Rebar, A. L., Stanton, R., Geard, D., Short, C., Duncan, M. J., & Vandelanotte, C. (2015). A meta-meta-analysis of the effect of physical activity on depression and anxiety in non-clinical adult populations. *Health Psychology Review*, 9, 366–378. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2015.1022901>
- Sallis, J. F., Prochaska, J. J., & Taylor, W. C. (2000). A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 32, 963–975.

- Sampselle, C. M., Seng, J., Yeo, S., Killion, C., & Oakley, D. (1999). Physical activity and postpartum well-being. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing*, *28*, 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1552-6909.1999.TB01963.X>
- Schiller, J. S., Lucas, J. W., Ward, B. W., & Peregoy, J. A. (2012). Summary health statistics for U.S. adults: National Health Interview Survey, 2010. *Vital and Health Statistics*, *10*, 1–207.
- Stephens, T. (1988). Physical activity and mental health in the United States and Canada: Evidence from four population surveys. *Preventive Medicine*, *17*(1), 35–47.
- Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A., & Sinha, R. (2014). The effects of stress on physical activity and exercise. *Sports Medicine*, *44*, 81–121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0090-5>
- Tanner Stapleton, L. R., Dooley, L., Guardino, C. M., Paek, C., Huynh, J., & Dunkel Schetter, C. (2015). The Community child health network life stress interview: A brief chronic stress measure for community health research. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping*, *29*(4), 352–366.
- Thompson, P. D., Buchner, D., Piña, I. L., Balady, G. J., Williams, M. A., Marcus, B. H., et al. (2003). Exercise and physical activity in the prevention and treatment of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, *23*(8), e42–e49.
- Trost, S. G., Owen, N., Bauman, A. E., Sallis, J. F., & Brown, W. (2002). Correlates of adults' participation in physical activity: Review and update. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *34*, 1996–2001. <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000038974.76900.92>
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2008). *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans*. Washington, DC.
- Viladrich, A., Yeh, M.-C., Bruning, N., & Weiss, R. (2009). “Do Real Women Have Curves?” Paradoxical body images among Latinas in New York City. *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health*, *11*, 20–28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-008-9176-9>
- Vogel, T., Brechat, P., Leprêtre, P., Kaltenbach, G., Berthel, M., & Lonsdorfer, J. (2009). Health benefits of physical activity in older patients: A review. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, *63*, 303–320.
- Warburton, D. E. R., Nicol, C. W., & Bredin, S. S. D. (2006). Health benefits of physical activity: The evidence. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *174*, 801–809.
- Wilcox, S., Castro, C., King, A., Housemann, R., & Brownson, R. C. (2000). Determinants of leisure time physical activity in rural compared with urban older and ethnically diverse women in the United States. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, *54*, 667–672.
- Williams, D. R., Yu, Y., Jackson, J. S., & Anderson, N. B. (1997). Racial differences in physical and mental health: Socio-economic status, stress and discrimination. *Journal of Health Psychology*, *2*(3), 335–351.
- Wolfe, W. A. (2000). Obesity and the African–American woman: A cultural tolerance of fatness or other neglected factors. *Ethnicity and Disease*, *10*, 446–453.
- Wu, P., Kwok, C. S., Haththotuwa, R., Kotronias, R. A., Babu, A., Fryer, A. A., et al. (2016). Pre-eclampsia is associated with a twofold increase in diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia*, *59*, 2518–2526. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-4098-x>